IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PIUS C.KURIAKOSE

WEDNESDAY, THE 18TH JULY 2007 / 27TH ASHADHA 1929

CRP.No. 1055 of 2002()

AGAINST THE ORDER DATED 25/01/2002 IN EA. 1528 /2000 IN E.P.453/2000 IN OS.754/1996 of II ADDL.SUB COURT,THRISSUR

.....

REVN. PETITIONER: PETITIONER(3RD PARTY):

NALINI, W/O.PARACKAL SIVAN,
PUTHUR VILLAGE & DESOM, THRISSUR TALUK.

BY ADV. SRI.N.P.SAMUEL
SRI.V.R.REJITHKUMAR

RESPONDENTS: RESPONDENTS-DECREE HOLDER & JUDGMENT DEBTORS 2 & 3:

----

1. **BALAN, S/O.KIZHAKKEDATH RAGHAVAN,**
   ANCHERY DESOM, OLLUR VILLAGE, THRISSUR TALUK,
   THRISSUR DIST.

2. **SHAJAN, S/O.THATTIL JOSE,**
   ANCHERY DESOM, OLLUR VILLAGE, THRISSUR TALUK,
   THRISSUR DIST.

3. **MARY, W/O.THATTIL JOY,**
   ANCHERY DESOM, OLLUR VILLAGE, THRISSUR DIST.

BY ADV. SRI.G.UNNIIKRISHNON for R1

THIS CIVIL REVISION PETITION HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 18/07/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

C.R.P.NO.1055/2002

ORDER ON C.M.P.NO.2734/2002 IN C.R.P.NO.1055/2002

DISMISSED

18/07/2007 **SD/-PIUS C. KURIAKOSE, JUDGE**

/TRUE COPY/

Kss

PIUS C. KURIAKOSE,J.

- -

C.R.P. No.1055 of 2002

- -

Dated: 18th July, 2007

ORDER

Heard both sides. Having regard to the submissions addressed at the Bar, I feel that there was justification for impleading the petitioner as an additional party in the Execution Petition. Accordingly, setting aside the impugned order, E.A.No.1528 of 2000 will stand allowed.

The C.R.P. is allowed as above. No costs.

srd **PIUS C.KURIAKOSE, JUDGE**